

REPORT TO SHASTA COUNTY RTPA

SUBJECT	MEETING DATE	ITEM NUMBER
Approve Unmet Transit Needs - 2007/08 Transportation Development Act (TDA) Allocation Instructions, Claims Budget and Unmet Needs Findings.	04/17/07	5

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Agency:

- 1) Accept the Social Services Transportation Advisory Committee's (SSTAC) presentation and recommendation;
- 2) Accept staff's responses to comments received from the February 27, 2007, Unmet Transit Needs hearing;
- 3) Determine that the existing level of transit service reflects the level of transit service that is reasonable to meet for each claimant jurisdiction out of its individual Local Transportation Fund (LTF) apportionment for 2007/08;
- 4) Approve Resolution No. 07-04 which reflects the Agency's formal Unmet Transit Needs findings and LTF allocations, and adopt a Transportation Development Act (TDA) budget for fiscal year 2007/08; and
- 5) Approve 2007/08 TDA claims by jurisdiction as reflected in Exhibit B.

SUMMARY

Adoption of the staff recommendation will allow Agency staff to distribute TDA revenues to be received in 2007/08 and to complete the 2007/08 Unmet Needs Process.

The RTPA staff recommends that the existing level of transit service as detailed in the 2007/08 Transit Needs Assessment reflects the level of transit service that is reasonable to meet for each claimant jurisdiction out of its individual LTF apportionment for 2007/08. TDA revenues available after the transit needs are met are available to each jurisdiction for other uses.

Staff recommends that there are no "unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet" at this time.

DISCUSSION

A brief chronology of the Unmet Needs and TDA claims process is as follows:

- February 27, 2007 - The Agency considered the 2007/08 Transit Needs Assessment and received public testimony regarding unmet transit needs. Staff subsequently met with the Social Services Transportation Advisory Committee (SSTAC) and presented the information generated from the hearing for formulation of the SSTAC recommendation. During this year's Unmet Needs process, the Agency received three emails, two phone calls, one person came to a prior RTPA meeting, and two people spoke during the February 27, 2007 Unmet Needs public hearing. No written comments were received (Attachment A).
- Staff prepared responses to comments and draft findings regarding "unmet needs that were reasonable to meet" in each jurisdiction. During the comment period the only requests received regarding service were persons that qualified for Lifeline service and were referred to the Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (CTSA). The remaining comments were operational and referred to the proper transit provider. RTPA staff has prepared a matrix with staff responses to all comments received (Attachment B).

- March 21, 2007 - the SSTAC met and discussed results of the unmet needs hearing, and responses from the RTPA and RABA. The SSTAC recommendation (Attachment K) is that:
 1. There are "no unmet needs that are reasonable to meet," and
 2. The SSTAC, CTSA, and RTPA should begin the implementation of priorities addressed in the federally mandated Shasta County Coordinated Human Transportation Plan (to be approved by the RTPA in June).

ALLOCATION PROCESS

RABA provides transit services in the urban areas of the county. The costs associated with fixed route and demand response are allocated to the three cities and the county based 80% on service hours, and 20% on the population served in each jurisdiction. This allocation formula has been used by the RTPA since 1993.

Exhibit A is a spreadsheet that apportions the available revenues to the local agencies based upon population, and allocates transit costs to those jurisdictions. This schedule also deducts each claimant's transit obligation from its apportionment to arrive at amounts available for other uses.

"Off-the-top" allocations have been deducted before funds are apportioned to the cities and county. These allocations include:

- RTPA Administrative Costs - \$ 258,514
- Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (CTSA) - \$ 375,344
- Overall Work Program Core Functions (local agency match) - \$10,735

BUDGETED REVENUE AND EXPENSES

The RABA budget for 2007/08 contains \$1,975,814 in Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5307 funds. It is RABA's responsibility to annually apply for 5307 funds. Consistent with RTPA Policy 6-3, receipt of these funds will reduce TDA transit obligations on a dollar for dollar basis for the three cities by the following amounts: Anderson (\$190,095), Shasta Lake (\$456,017), and Redding (\$1,601,892). In the event these grant revenues are not received by RABA, the respective transit obligation for these agencies will increase.

State Transit Assistance (STA) revenues have increased significantly with the passage of Propositions 1A and 1B. STA funds may only be used for public transportation. The RABA capital reserve will be increased from \$500,000 to \$1 million for transit capital purchases (Attachment F).

Shasta County will receive \$227,638 in FTA Section 5311 funds for rural operating assistance. These assist with funding the Burney Express, Lifeline services, and RABA in the unincorporated area of the county.

Attachment C shows the estimated transit revenue by funding source. Attachment D shows estimated transit expenses by type.

A summary of apportionments and transit obligations for 2007/08 are presented on the following page.

Claimant	Total Revenue All Sources	Total Cost Allocated for Transit Services	Total Administrative Costs	Available for Other Uses
City of Redding	\$5,833,688	\$5,120,421		\$ 713,266
City of Anderson	\$ 692,277	\$ 491,807		\$ 200,471
City of Shasta Lake	\$ 669,455	\$ 465,705		\$ 203,750
Shasta Senior Nutrition Program (CTSA contractor)	\$ 375,344	\$ 375,344		Not Applicable
Shasta County	\$3,543,916	\$ 462,277		\$3,081,639
RTPA Administration			\$ 258,514	
TOTAL	\$11,373,194	\$ 6,915,554	\$ 258,514	\$4,199,126 (\$1 rounding)

FAREBOX PENALTY

The RTPA approved a temporary farebox ratio reduction to 15% for 2005/06. The RABA farebox for 2005/2006 was 14.51%. This places RABA in a farebox "penalty" phase. The penalty is the difference between the actual farebox recovery and the farebox required by the RTPA. The agencies will be asked to voluntarily subsidize the penalty accessed to RABA for \$20,450, as demonstrated in the table below. No TDA funds are actually lost to the region. The RABA transit consultant will provide a presentation to the RTPA at the June meeting, and options will be provided regarding compliance with the farebox requirement.

FAREBOX PENALTY FOR 2005/2006				
	2004/2005 Year 1 Farebox	2005/2006 Year 2 Farebox	2006/2007 Year 3 Farebox	2007/2008 Year 4 Farebox
	Grace year – no penalty	Non-compliance Year	Determination Year	Penalty assessed to LTF Funds
SCRTPA Required Farebox	19%	15%	19%	19%
RABA Farebox Recovery	13.54%	14.51%		
Shortfall	5.46%	0.49%		
RABA Expenses per Transit Operators Report		\$ 4,190,064		
RABA Farebox Recovery		\$ 608,060		
RTPA Required Farebox (Total expenses multiplied by required farebox)		\$ 628,510		
Shortfall		\$ 20,450	REDUCTION IN LTF AVAIL. FOR OTHER USES	
Impact on Agencies				
City of Anderson				\$ 1,976
City of Redding				\$ 15,983
City of Shasta Lake				\$ 1,032
County of Shasta				\$ 1,459

CITY OF ANDERSON REQUEST

In October 2005, RABA implemented an Anderson-only route service, which is under review. This service did not change the RABA service area; therefore, an analysis by the RTPA of the reasonable to meet criteria was not required. The City of Anderson has requested to eliminate the Anderson-only route service (Attachment E), and has requested that the RTPA calculate the 2007/08 claims based on service hours prior to the Anderson-only route implementation. Staff has calculated claims based on the assumption that RABA will respond to Anderson's request by reducing Anderson's service hours. If this assumption is incorrect, Anderson will owe funds to the RTPA during the 2007/08 true-up.

ALTERNATIVES

The Agency could choose to modify revenue and expenditure assumptions. These estimates were made in compliance with RTPA Policy 6-3 and certain modifications may require an amendment of the policy at a future meeting.

OTHER AGENCY INVOLVEMENT

The local agencies have reviewed the attached exhibits and concur with the staff recommendation. RABA, Shasta Senior Nutrition Programs (the CTSA), Shasta County, and the cities of Anderson, Redding, and Shasta Lake have been consulted throughout the TDA claims process. This item was reviewed at the April 17, 2007, Technical Advisory Committee meeting, and TAC recommends approval.

FINANCING

Final action consistent with the attached resolution will impact claims as outlined in Exhibit B.



Daniel S. Little, AICP, Executive Officer

DSL/SLC/jac

- Attachments:
- A) Resolution No. 07-04
 - B) Exhibit A - Apportionments and Transit Allocations for 2007/08
 - C) Exhibit B - Schedule of Annual Claims/ TDA Budget for 2007/08
 - D) Attachment A - Comments Received During 2007/08 Unmet Needs Process
 - E) Attachment B - Staff Responses to Comments Received During the 2007/08 Unmet Needs Process
 - F) Attachment C - Estimated Revenue Distribution
 - G) Attachment D - Estimated Expenses by Type
 - H) Attachment E- Letter from City of Anderson dated April 3, 2007
 - I) Attachment F - Letter from RABA dated November 1, 2006
 - J) Attachment G - SSTAC recommendation dated April 10, 2007

RESOLUTION 07-04

SHASTA COUNTY REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY
CONCERNING UNMET TRANSIT NEEDS DETERMINED REASONABLE TO
MEET FOR CLAIMANT JURISDICTIONS IN SHASTA COUNTY FOR
FY 2007/08

WHEREAS, Section 99230 of the California Public Utilities Code (PUC) requires that each transportation planning agency shall annually determine the amount of Local Transportation Fund (LTF) funds to be allocated to each claimant, from an analysis and evaluation of the total amount anticipated to be available in the LTF and the relative needs of each claimant for the purposes for which the fund is intended, and consistent with the provisions of the law; and

WHEREAS, PUC Section 99231 and the California Code of Regulations, Section 6655 require that the transportation planning agency shall allocate to a claimant for a given area only such moneys as represent that area's apportionment; and

WHEREAS, the provisions of PUC Section 99401.5 further require that the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) annually review and make findings concerning "unmet transit needs" in each claimant jurisdiction and, prior to making any LTF allocations to claimants for street and road purposes, fund such unmet transit needs in each claimant jurisdiction as have been found "reasonable to meet"; and

WHEREAS, the 2007/08 transit needs review process in Shasta County has included all of the following actions:

- (1) Consultations with and recommendations from the Social Services Transportation Advisory Council (SSTAC), and other interested organizations and individuals;
- (2) Identification of the transit needs for claimant jurisdictions in Shasta County as detailed in the 2007/08 Transit Needs Assessment, which includes the following:
 - (a) An assessment of the size and location of identifiable groups which are likely to be transit dependent or transit disadvantaged, including, but not limited to the elderly, the handicapped and persons of limited means;
 - (b) An analysis of the adequacy of existing public transportation services and specialized transportation services, including privately and publicly provided services in meeting the demand identified pursuant to the assessment referred to in sub-paragraph (a) above;
 - (c) An analysis of the potential alternative public transportation and specialized transportation services and service improvements.
- (3) A presentation by the RTPA staff on February 27, 2007, concerning recent study findings concerning unmet needs, and existing service ridership and feasibility;
- (4) A public hearing held on February 27, 2007, for the purpose of soliciting claimant agency and citizen comments on unmet transit needs that may exist within each claimant's

jurisdiction that might be reasonable to meet using LTF funds; and

- (5) An analysis of, and response to, comments prepared for the April 17, 2007, RTPA meeting. Separate responses were prepared by the RTPA for the Redding Area Bus Authority (RABA) for consideration; and

WHEREAS, as a result of this annual planning and review process, the RTPA has identified that there are "no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet" for the claimant jurisdictions in Shasta County for 2007/08; and

WHEREAS, an analysis of identified transit needs for fiscal year 2007/08 for each affected jurisdiction, and consideration of their respective costs and revenues and other factors, has resulted in the determination that the existing level of transit service, as detailed in the 2007/08 Transit Needs Assessment, represents those transit needs that are reasonable to meet; and

WHEREAS, the findings required by PUC Section 99401.5, and related RTPA rules and policies, for each claimant jurisdiction are reflected in a single resolution for administrative convenience and as a reflection of the coordinated transit service that the individual claimants have separately elected to provide through a Joint Powers Agreement (JPA), despite their individual status as eligible Transportation Development Act (TDA) claimants; and

WHEREAS, the information developed pursuant to PUC Section 99401.5, subdivisions (a) through (c), inclusive, which provide the basis for the findings herein for FY 2007/08 include: (1) The 2007/08 Transit Needs Assessment and supplemental data and analysis provided; (2) the Transit Development Plan (TDP) as updated with 1995 Transit Needs Study Technical Memorandums No. 1 and No. 2; (3) SSTAC consultations; (4) public and claimant agency comments; (5) staff reports and presentations to the RTPA Board; (6) the Regional Transportation Plan; and (7) all correspondence, testimony and documents otherwise comprising the record of proceedings; and

WHEREAS, the PUC Section 99401.5 findings made herein, including determinations that existing transit services include all transit needs that are reasonable to meet, will require that the existing level of transit service shall continue to be funded for each claimant as specified before any allocation is made by the responsible claimant for streets and roads within its jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, proposed 2007/08 TDA allocations to the claimants, in the form of a 2007/08 TDA budget, have been prepared which include funding for the existing level of service determined reasonable to meet; and

WHEREAS, to ensure eligibility for STA funds, RABA shall submit calculations supporting its compliance with California Code of Regulations Section 99314.6; and

WHEREAS, the PUC Section 99401.5 findings made herein are consistent with the goals, objectives and policies of the Regional Transportation Plan; and

WHEREAS, from the 2007/08 unmet transit needs evaluation process, the RTPA has determined a level of transit service for each claimant that is considered reasonable to meet under the criteria of Resolution 00-21 and the rules and regulations governing the implementation of the Transportation Development Act; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Shasta County Regional Transportation Planning Agency:

- (1) Makes the above stated findings and determinations, including, but not limited to a finding that existing transit services fully and adequately address all unmet transit needs in each claimant jurisdiction in Shasta County, which are reasonable to meet; and
- (2) Determines that the existing level of transit service, as detailed in the 2007/08 Transit Needs Assessment, fully set forth herein by reference represents the highest level of transit service that can be reasonably funded; and
- (3) Determines that the 80% service hours/20% population-based cost sharing formula represents the cost sharing alternative which reflects the most equitable distribution of RABA service area costs to the claimant agencies in light of the transit system benefits each will realize from the RABA provided services; and
- (4) Determines that the farebox ratio for the RABA service area where services are jointly funded in the rural and urban areas for 2007/08 is 19%; and
- (5) Approves allocations for each claimant jurisdiction that are consistent with and reflected in the 2007/08 TDA Budget attached as Exhibit B; and
- (6) Directs that claimants for TDA funds be instructed by RTPA staff that they shall submit claims for transit funds in the amounts not exceeding those shown on Exhibit B for fiscal year 2007/08, and that these claims and the use of resulting funds shall be consistent with the TDP, as amended, before any LTF allocations shall be used for other uses.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 17th day of April, 2007, by the Shasta County Regional Transportation Planning Agency.


Norma Connick, Chair
Shasta County Regional
Transportation Planning Agency

**SHASTA COUNTY RTPA
CALCULATION OF TDA APPORTIONMENTS AND TRANSIT OBLIGATIONS FOR 2007-2008**

EXHIBIT A - PAGE 1 OF 2

Population Factors (for Apportionment Only)
Based on 2006 E-1 Population Report

Population Based on 2006 1/1/2006	Percentage
Redding	89,973 49.58%
Anderson	10,677 5.88%
Shasta Lake	10,325 5.69%
Unincorporated County Area	70,508 38.85%
Total Population in RTPA Area	181,483 100.00%



Service Hour Factors
Allocate Service Hours to Claimant Jurisdictions
Service Hours from RABA
Represents Daily Hours Allocated to each Jurisdiction

	REDDING	ANDERSON	SHASTA LAKE	SHASTA COUNTY	DAILY TOTAL
Hours by Jurisdiction	114.50	0.00	9.90	5.60	130.00
Anderson (6.5 plus 4 hours)	1.50	10.50	0.00	2.00	14.00
	116.00	10.50	9.90	7.60	144.00
	80.56%	7.29%	6.88%	5.28%	100.00%

Claimant Populations Within Urban Fixed Route Area
based on 2006 State Department of Finance E-1 report

Population in Service Area	Population Split 20.00%	Service Hour Split 80.00%	Weighted Average Share
89,973	77.26%	80.56%	79.90%
10,677	9.17%	7.29%	7.67%
10,325	8.87%	6.88%	7.27%
5,479	4.70%	5.28%	5.16%
116,454	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

LTF expected to be received 7,765,387
LTF from fund balance 500,000
STA expected to be received PUC99313 Only 1,915,089
STA Funds Reserved (1,000,000)
Net STA Available for Distribution 915,089

5307 Population Split

Redding	89,973	81.08%
Anderson	10,677	9.62%
Shasta Lake	10,325	9.30%
	110,975	100.00%

" Off - The - Top " Allocations

Administration PUC 99233.1 LTF (3% Max)	(258,514)	PER OWP RTPA 100% and RTPA TDA Match
RTPA Core Planning Functions Agency Match	(10,735)	PER OWP
CTSA PUC 99275 Funded STA 07-08	(375,344)	5% LTF after RTPA Admin
Amount Available for Distribution	8,535,883	

Note: City of Anderson has requested eliminating the Anderson-only service as soon as possible, and has requested the RTPA to calculate 07/08 claims based on the 2005/06 estimated claims (6.5 hours). The RTPA has calculated 07/08 claims with one-half of the Anderson-only and Anderson Express routes (4 hours).

**SHASTA COUNTY RTPA
CALCULATION OF TDA APPORTIONMENTS AND TRANSIT OBLIGATIONS FOR 2007-2008**

EXHIBIT A - PAGE 2 OF 2



Amount to be provided to RABA - Urban Fixed Route and DR	6,409,259	From policy 6-3 2007/08
Less 05/06 Farebox Penalty	(20,450)	
	6,388,809	
RABA % Funded Based Upon Service Hours	80%	
RABA % Funded Based Upon Population	20%	

Calculation of transit obligation based on 80% Service Hours/20% Population

	ESTIMATED REVENUES					ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES				
	Estimated TDA to Distribute	FTA Section 5307	Core Planning Match	FTA Section 5311	Total Resources Available	To Fund RABA	Voluntary To Fund Penalty	To Fund Burney Express Rural Transit	To Fund Rural Admin	TDA Distributed to Claimants for Other Uses Public Transit
Amount to be Apportioned to Claimants	8,535,883									
Redding	4,231,796	1,601,892			5,833,688	(5,104,438)	(15,983)			713,266
Redding Core Match	5,217		(5,217)							
Anderson	502,183	190,095			692,278	(489,831)	(1,976)			200,471
Anderson Core Match	2,272		(2,272)							-
Shasta Lake	485,627	183,828			669,455	(464,673)	(1,032)			203,750
Shasta Lake Core Match	142		(142)							-
Unincorporated County Area	3,316,278			227,638	3,543,916	(329,867)	(1,459)	(94,701)	(36,250)	3,081,639
Shasta County Core Match	3,105		(3,105)							
Administration	258,514				258,514					258,514
CTSA	375,344				375,344					375,344
RABA Core Match	-		-			6,388,809				6,388,809
Rural Transit	-				-			94,701	36,250	130,951
RABA Penalty							20,450	-		20,450
TOTAL TO DISTRIBUTE	9,180,477	1,975,814	(10,735)	227,638	11,373,194	-	-	-	-	4,457,640 6,915,554
Source Notes		PER RABA BUDGET	Per OWP	From FTA Estimate				Per Budget	Per Budget	Proof -
Proof	-									

Exhibit A-2

SCHEDULE OF ANNUAL CLAIMS /TDA BUDGET FISCAL YEAR 2007/2008 CLAIMS				
EXHIBIT B				
CLAIMANT/PURPOSE		Funding Sources		
		LTF	STA	FTA
Off-The-Top Apportionments				
Administrative (includes Core Function Match)	PUC 99233.1	269,249		
CTSA Funding for Elderly Population	PUC 99275		361,344	
CTSA Funding for Administration by CTSA	PUC 99275		14,000	
		-		
Total Off The Top Apportionments		269,249	375,344	
Redding Area Bus Authority				
TDA funds required per RABA budget PUC Article 4 99260 (a)	6,409,259			
Sources of Transit Funding:				
LTF - Redding	3,234,960	3,234,960		
STA - Redding	267,587		267,587	
RABA Farebox Penalty	15,983	15,983		
FTA Section 5307	1,601,892			1,601,892
LTF - Shasta Lake	250,138	250,138		
STA - Shasta Lake	30,707		30,707	
RABA Farebox Penalty	1,032	1,032		
FTA Section 5307	183,828			183,828
LTF - Anderson	267,982	267,982		
STA - Anderson	31,754		31,754	
RABA Farebox Penalty	1,976	1,976		
FTA Section 5307	190,095			190,095
LTF - Shasta County	-	-		FTA 5311
STA- Shasta County	102,229		102,229	
RABA Farebox Penalty	1,459	1,459		
FTA 5311- Shasta County	227,638			227,638
				-
Total Funds Provided RABA for Transist	6,409,259	3,773,530	432,277	2,203,452
Services Provided Under Contract to Shasta County		LTF for Other	STA	FTA 5311
Burney Express			94,701	-
City of Redding				
LTF - For Other Uses		713,266		
City Of Anderson				
LTF - For Other Uses		200,471		
City Of Shasta Lake				
LTF - For Other Uses		203,750		
Shasta County				FTA 5311
LTF - For Other Uses (PUC 99400(a))		3,081,639		-
LTF/STA - Purchased Transportation - Rural Administration (Voluntary Program)		1,190	5,060	
LTF/STA for Lifeline Services (PUC 99400 (c))		22,293	7,707	
Total Revenues by Funding Source		7,996,139	915,089	2,203,452
PROOF			0	0
TOTAL REVENUES TO BE DISTRIBUTED=		11,114,680		
Off-the Top Apportionment		269,249		
Less Core Planning Match		(10,735)		
Equal Totals Resources Available for Distribution (Exhibit A)		11,373,194	11,373,194	0

ATTACHMENT A

SUMMARY OF 2007/08 UNMET NEEDS COMMENTS			
COMMENT #	NAME, ADDRESS	PHONE, EMAIL, MAIL	COMMENT
P-1	Women's Refuge 4/5/06 Contacted Tri-Counties Network – Women's Refuge send bus passes to TCCN		• Bus passes for clients on Burney Express.
P-2	Phil Hiding 12/5/06	356-3267	• Burney Express stop at Diddy Wells
E-1	Nada Greenleaf 4/19/06	Blackoak33@yahoo.com Email response: 4/29/06	• How many riders to specific community? • Who should a senior contact? • Comments on RABA map and Senior Transportation • Is SSNP information at RABA bus stops?
E-2	Debbie Ginn, Caltrans Dist 2 6/28/06	Debbin_ginn@dot.ca.gov	• Forward request from CT Native American Liaison for stop in Burney at west end of town.
E-3	Marlene	mimardeamor@sbcglobal.net	• Service to Cottonwood
IP-1	Scott Anderson Physically disabled 10/24/06	221-8483 Brownstone187@yahoo.com	• Old Alturas/Boyle. Forward info to SSNP for County Lifeline Service
IP-2	Shelley Anderson	247-3881	• Add more bus shelters
IP-3	Duane Bent	221-7059	• Continuing Anderson Express service


ATTACHMENT B—STAFF COMMENTS

2007/2008 UNMET TRANSIT NEEDS COMMENTS AND RESPONSES


Introduction: Annually, the RTPA takes public testimony on unmet transit needs in Shasta County. Comments received are grouped below according to subject matter. Some comments, such as those for new general-public transit services, require an RTPA response as to whether the service can meet "unmet transit needs" and "reasonable to meet" criteria developed by the RTPA. Other comments, such as those for new senior services, require a CTSA response on whether that service is consistent with "community transit service" criteria. Services that do not meet either of these funding criteria may be funded at the discretion of a city or county, depending on where the transit need occurs, and a jurisdiction response may be provided.


Comments Grouped by Subject	RTPA Response
1. Fixed Route/Demand Response Service Area	
A. Extend hours of operation	No comments received
B. Expand Service	No comments received
E-3: Service to Cottonwood	Referred to the CTSA
P-2, E-2: Additional stops on Burney Express route.	Burney Express is a commuter express route with limited stops. To qualify as an "express" service, no additional stops may be added at the present time. If persons are mobility-impaired or elderly they are referred to the CTSA.
IP-1: Service at Old Alturas/Boyle Rd.	This request is outside of the RABA service area. This person qualifies as a Lifeline individual and was referred to the CTSA.
2. SPECIALIZED SERVICES	No comments received
3. OPERATIONAL/SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS	
A. Route Changes	
IP-3: Support of Anderson Express.	In October 2005, RABA implemented an Anderson Only service with an express route to Redding. The Anderson service is not meeting the expected performance and is under review by RABA. This service was not subject to RTPA review or approval.
B. Operation Issues	
P-1: Womens Refuge looking for bus passes for clients in Burney.	Contacted Tri-Counties Network. Tri-Counties will have passes available for Womens Refuge clients in the Intermountain area.
IP-2: Install more bus shelters.	This comment has been deferred to RABA. RABA has a capital improvement plan which includes placement of bus shelters and other "street furniture".
4. POLICY ISSUES	
A. General Information Only	
E-1: Email with various comments regarding community transit.	Responded to individual and referred to CTSA and RABA for questions regarding services.

Attachment C
2007-2008 Estimated Revenue Distribution by Source
TOTAL REVENUE: \$ 11,373,194



Attachment D
2007-2008 Estimated Revenue by Type
Total Revenue: \$11,731,94





ATTACHMENT E

April 3, 2007

Mr. Barry Tippin, Transportation and Engineering Director
Redding Area Bus Authority
777 Cypress Ave.
Redding, CA 96001

Subject: Modification of the Anderson Transit Route

On March 20, 2007, the Anderson City Council adopted Resolution No. 07-16 (attached) asking that RABA modify the Anderson bus route eliminating the loop service and reinstating a modified express route between Anderson and Redding as soon as possible. Please place an agenda item on the agenda for the date of the next RABA meeting directing staff to initiate action on the requested change to the Anderson bus route.

The loop route in Anderson is a costly experiment that must be undone as soon as possible. The express route that included several stops in the Anderson area was successful. Therefore, Anderson is requesting a return to that type of service for Anderson.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Norma Commick". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the printed name and title.

Norma Commick
RABA Board Member

RESOLUTION NO. 07- 16

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ANDERSON
RECOMMENDING THAT THE REDDING AREA BUS AUTHORITY MODIFY THE
ANDERSON BUS ROUTE**

WHEREAS, the City Council has reviewed the performance of the Anderson Bus Route; and

WHEREAS, the Anderson only loop system has been performing below the minimum system-wide farebox ratio established by the Shasta County Regional Transportation Agency; and

WHEREAS, the RABA Short Range Transit Plan identifies the Anderson route as having extremely low ridership; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on March 20, 2007; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Anderson has considered the staff recommendation and public testimony.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ANDERSON AS FOLLOWS:

That the Redding Area Bus Authority modify the Anderson bus route eliminating the loop service and reinstating a modified express route between Anderson and Redding, as soon as possible.


PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL of the City of Anderson this 20th day of March 2007, by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmembers Schaefer, Hunt, Burnett, Connick, and Mayor Webster.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

ABSTAIN: None.



Keith Webster
Mayor of the City of Anderson

ATTEST:



Scott Morgan
City Clerk of the City of Anderson

**This instrument is a true copy
of the original on file in this office**

ATTEST APR 03 2007

CITY CLERK - CITY OF ANDERSON
BY: 
DEPUTY



Attachment F

November 1, 2006
R-010-750

Daniel Little, Executive Officer
Shasta County Regional Transportation Planning Agency
1855 Placer Street
Redding, California, 96001

Subject: Use of State Transit Assistance (STA) Funds

Dear Dan:

On Monday, October 16, 2006, the Redding Area Bus Authority (RABA) Board of Directors considered an item related to the expected distribution of excess STA funds within the 2006-07 fiscal year. It is our understanding that as a result of a robust State economy, loans from the STA account taken in the recent past by the State will be repaid ahead of schedule. It is our understanding that the amount will be approximately \$1 million. The RABA Board has requested the RTPA's approval to allocate the funds for public transit use (capital expenditures or operations) in conformance with RABA's approved plan.

We realize that the funds are not currently within the RTPA accounts, and that they may be received in multiple payments within the fiscal year; however, for planning purposes confirmation that the funds will be available for transit needs is critical in the development of the capital plan update within the Short- and Long-Range Transit Plan Update and the development of the 2007-08 and 2008-09 fiscal year budgets.

Please accept this letter as a formal request by RABA for the RTPA to allocate any excess STA funds for public transit use. Please contact either Sue Hanson at 245-7116, Ray Duryee at 224-6127, or myself at 245-7155, should have questions or if we can provide any additional information.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, which appears to read "Barry Tippin", is written over a horizontal line.

Barry Tippin
Executive Officer

REDDING AREA BUS AUTHORITY

777 CYPRESS AVENUE, REDDING, CALIFORNIA 96001-3396 • (530) 225-4171 • FAX (530) 245-7024

ATTACHMENT G

SHASTA COUNTY SOCIAL SERVICE TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COUNCIL (SSTAC)

TO: Shasta County Regional Transportation Planning Agency (SCRTPA)

DATE: April 10, 2007

SUBJECT: 2007-2008 Unmet transportation needs for Shasta County residents

The Shasta County Social Service Transportation Advisory Council has met quarterly to review the public input and staff responses regarding the Unmet Needs in Shasta County.

The SSTAC committee is aware that RABA has contracted with a consultant that has been exploring a variety of methods to improve the public transportation system in our county. We have read their updates and reports and have been very impressed with the degree of study performed and the recommendations made.

The SSTAC committee continues to feel that current growth will soon exceed the capacity of our system of public transportation. The building and growth currently under way in Shasta County is exciting but somewhat intimidating when it comes to public services that will be required to support such population growth.

This committee supports the recommendations of the Shasta County Regional Transportation Planning Agency, (SCRTPA) as they are the lead agency for the development and implementation of a Coordinated Human-Services Transportation Plan under the direction of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act (SAFETEA-LU).

At the time of writing this memo to the SCRTPA board, the SSTAC Committee reports that there are **no unmet needs at this time.** This is not to say that there is not room for improvement in our transportation policy for our residents. Developing a plan for all these needs will require a **broad** range of strategies, analyses and funding planning, with participation of the entire community in the process.

Respectfully submitted,

Sharon Howard, Chair

Kay Hudelson – Golden Umbrella

Larry Scarborough – Far Northern Regional Center

Debbie McClung – Shasta Senior Nutrition Programs, Inc.

Ray Duryee – Redding Area Bus Authority

Virginia Webster – Shasta Senior Nutrition Programs
Lisa White – Shasta Senior Nutrition Programs
Sandra Van Larson – Independent Living Services
Mike Evans – Senior Citizen
Sharon Howard – Dept. of Social Services – CalWORKS
Cindy Dodds – Tri-Counties Community Network
Janice Galloway – Shasta County Mental Health
Jane Patterson – Shasta County Opportunity Center